

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,707	07/06/2001	Roger E. Darois	D0188/7126	4890
75	90 07/25/2003			
Jason M. Honeyman Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C. Federal Reserve Plaza			EXAMINER BONDERER, DAVID A	
•			3732	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	M.X					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/900,707	DAROIS ET AL.					
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	D. Austin Bonderer	3732					
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) de vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 J	l <u>uly 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 46-64 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>46 and 50-64</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 48 and 49 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b) objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		roved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document							
2. Certified copies of the priority document							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)					
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 10					

Application/Control Number: 09/900,707

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 46, 50, 53, 54, 56, 59, 60, and 62 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mulhauser et al.

Mulhauser discloses a mesh with an impenetrable layer that covers the side and edge comprising:

- A polypropylene mesh layer 12;
- A barrier layer (fig. 3h);
- A edge barrier layer (fig 3h); and
- A method of implanting the prosthesis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 47, 51, 52, 55, 57, 58, 61, 63, and 64 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mulhauser in view of Eldridge.

Application/Control Number: 09/900,707

Art Unit: 3732

Mulhauser teaches the use of a continuous connection between the mesh and the barrier.

This is considered to be a continuous stitching.

Mulhauser lacks the use of ePTFE for the barrier layer. Eldridge teaches the use of ePTFE for the barrier layer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Mulhauser with the ePTFE as taught by Eldridge since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended us as a matter of obvious design choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 48 and 49 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 7-14-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The contention that the ring does not form a layer that inhibits the formation of adhesions is not persuasive. The mesh layer is shown to have adhesive qualities and the ring does not. Mulhauser clearly shows in the drawings the texture and pore size of the mesh to show the adhesion properties of the mesh. If the ring where to exhibit the same properties then they texture and pore size would be shown. It is clear that Mulhauser et al. teach the use of a barrier layer that inhibits the formation of adhesions.

' مره

Art Unit: 3732

Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Austin Bonderer whose telephone number is 703.306.5911. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on 703.308.2582. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.308.2708 for regular communications and 703.308.3905 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0873.

dab // July 23, 2003

EDUARDO C. ROBERT PRIMARY EXAMINER